

Sustrans: Designing for Children and Young People

Practice: what is the most important thing when engaging with children and young people?

• Listening (without preconceptions) (8)

- To provide space and time to allow children to share and taking the time as adults to LISTEN
- Making consultation understandable and accessible to young people and LISTENING to their views
- Listening; patience;
- o Listening and not bounding their imagination and ideas
- Listen to the kids
- o Actually speak to the kids and actively listen
- Listen to what is said, not guide or steer towards a preconceived end goal
- Listening know and understand a place before developing changes

• Clarity and accessibility of the engagement (6)

- Language; collecting and USING feedback
- Using language appropriate for a child
- Ensure it is age appropriate; listen to them; if you don't understand ask in a different way; if they don't understand what you are asking, find another way
- Defining what's up for discussion and what's not; how kids input fits into the project timeline in terms of feedback etc.
- Clearly defining what you are hoping to achieve from the engagement
- o Transparency and clear instructions/objectives about what is expected

• Good child-friendly approaches (6)

- To be creative, enabling an opportunity
- A variety of engagement to techniques to maximise involvement
- Make it fun
- o Give opportunities for children to play using their imagination
- To make sure it gets the needs and desires of the young people who we are designing for
- To facilitate co-design with children and young people; that the correct evidence is identified

• Taking their perspective (5)

- Have an open mind and embrace the fantastical!
- Understanding the need of the children or they will not be interested
- not being patronising
- o Get down to our level to communicate for our age
- As a young person I WANT to participate. Why because its motivating, interesting, understandable and meaningful

• Feeling safe and free to express (4)

- Opportunity to express what they think / feel
- Safe and comfortable space;
- o The children understand they can be honest
- building trust and rapport

• Commitment to implementing their ideas (4)

- Demonstrable impact: seeing that engagement led to change/action
- Making sure they feel involved and valued
- Speak to the kids to gain direction
- That we connect with them and prove its relevance; they must be informed about what will (or won't) be done with their contribution
- Other
 - Incentives (Haribo)



Regulation: what evidence would best tell you a commissioned engagement was high quality?

• Monitoring project outcomes, progress and success (8)

- Recording views and design:
- Reviewing ask for evidence, look at the art, ideas, listen to recordings, keep in the loop
- Proof of engagement
- Look at the design and make sure they have met the consultation brief
- Speak to ME before doing anything
- Check outputs from consultation; enquire about numbers and demographic of people, children and young people involved; check engagement process and time to feedback to people engaged
- Are more people using the park? (After it's been built)
- Direct feedback from children (6)
 - Children feel safe and comfortable enough to share freely
 - o listen to what the children say
 - Feeback from children
 - o Get feedback hands-up survey etc. to ensure that it is accurate and correct
 - Feedback what you heard from the children and actually implement ideas and say why you couldn't do some ideas
 - Ask the children get feedback on the process
 - Did the children and young people feel listened to a treated as equals: ask them

• Clear link between the engagement outcomes and end-design (5)

- Look at output of consultation process; how does it link to available options and space
- Where in the design is the consultation evidence
- Understand the regulations; implement your questions; relate it to the regulations; research/ relate the answer back to the regulations
- \circ $\;$ Check the kids ideas are in the design
- \circ $\;$ Look at the end result / design; evidence of engagement methods $\;$

• Clear brief (4)

- Ensure all parties understand what the engagement is trying to achieve and that the event is planned to be inclusive
- Clear procurement briefs with desired engagement outcomes that are effectively monitored
- Plenty of briefing discussions to ensure your 3rd party is on the same page as you; what you want to get out of it is clearly agreed
- Preplanning meetings prior to consultation/facilitation; allows to understand parties' experience; allows to ask questions; allows everyone understand the 'WHY'

• Commitment to continuity of relationship (4)

- Consistent faces over the project journey; framing of what elements of the project are open to influence
- Including ongoing relationships
- Regularity, build rapport
- You said, we did. Summary to show we were listening.

• Commitment to implementation (4)

- Opportunities to make physical changes to show a sense of ownership
- To ensure that the ideas thought of are captured in a way that they make sense and could be possible
- Design all elements to accommodate needs
- Kids needs included in formal project objective; so kids engaged in stage 0-1

• A good consultant / design which demonstrates understanding of child engagement (4)

- Homework: check out company first;
- Being able to relate to the children's age group
- \circ $\,$ An ability to listen and be open-minded
- Must be engaging, interesting, exciting and welcoming discussions



Barriers: what is the biggest barrier to good child engagement?

• Failure to honour the process or implement outputs (9)

- o Trust
- o Don't water down the kids ideas; keep the adventure
- o Making it meaningful
- Adults get the final say boring always wins!
- Adults not listening or taking project forwards
- o a desire to do what it 'should', rather than what is 'wonderful'
- o Talk and no action; or too much engagement and not actually carrying the project out
- o Plenty of communication but nothing ever taking place
- Too many consultations with no finished product. They will feel not listened to and feel it is another tick-box exercise

Budget / Time / Resources (8)

- Budgets / funding
- Resources
- o Time
- We get great feedback but don't have the capacity or funding to implement
- Budget; resource; time to build trust
- Funding not directed to kids spaces; staff resources for engagement
- Lack of resource
- lack of resources

Institutional / Systemic Constraints (6)

- Health and safety very strict. Institutionalised thinking. Very formal procedures.
- Institutional concern about the use of child input (Sustrans funding may motivate)
- o Institutional adultism: bureaucratic inflexibility
- Pre-conceived ideas and solutions
- Fear culture; fear of change
- The complexity: large number of teams really should be involved to get things right. Each team has their own priorities. How do you get cross-party agreement?

• People who don't see the value (4)

- Idiots: anti-engagers
- Misconception that it's not important
- o Adults
- o Persuading others that kids opinions are valid

• Lack of requisite skills (3)

- The individual undertaking the engagement
- Need a skilled person to lead on it and take responsibility for it; happening in a project team
- Not understanding what they want; instructions are not clear;

• Difficulty accessing participants (3)

- Kids having too much else to do; structuring the consultations at the right level
- The chance to engage especially, hard to reach young people
- o Access to young people, i.e. school participation and long-term buy-in
- Other:
 - o Children's extreme aspirations and parental reservations



Remedies: one idea for resolving your 'biggest barrier'?

- Commitment to good honest engagement and to implementation (8)
 - Consult and listen keep promises
 - o Design activities to ensure designs can adapt to children's needs and wants
 - Implementation! Build relationships, gain trust, prove that you want this to be successful for them.
 - Inclusion of children needs on design procedures; requirement of funding streams to include children
 - Make sure consultation is relevant. Don't do too many. Value the consultation and be open to change.
 - o Deliver something simple to prove to us first. Not necessarily something large
 - Equality: all young people / children have the chance to speak and confidence to do so 😊
 - Share with children and young people; provide enough insight on contextual constraints to highlight some of the bounds to creative ideas

• Capacity-building and knowledge-sharing (7)

- Training, research, asking for help, or use experienced organisations with a track record
- o Knowledge-sharing of good practice show that it works and is a good idea
- Reflective practice: review risks, barriers and scheme
- Training focused on engaging with children
- Better listening skills
- Barrier: idiots direct one-to-one discussion to develop their engagement
- Adult build + play days: get all the many disciplines, shills, people out doing a real solution in a real place

• Structures which can enable more effective and efficient engagement (7)

- Area-based engagement: communities and schools. Officers still being funded by Council
- The engagement process being promoted through the school community. Teacher training around benefits.
- Funded embedded youth leaders who coordinate response and provide a continuum in a community of place
- Get school's support and buy-in to help with coordinating children and young people engagement opportunities;
- \circ $\;$ Develop the local forum / network / structure of young people for local development
- Liaise with schools so consultation is done in school time (to tackle kids having too many things on). Have assistance from experts to help structure consultation appropriate to their ages.
- Time to undertake (but still want quick delivery of project)

• Better partnership-working between stakeholders and children and young people (3)

- Better collaboration between council departments (roads and education etc.) and information-sharing. Make it habitual.
- It's inevitably about personality. You can't work closely with everyone all the time. But working more and more collaboratively would mitigate the barrier of complexity.
- Meet in the middle: compromise

• More funding (3)

- More money for engagement
- Appropriate funding and an action plan is in place to proceed with ideas produced
- o dedicated funding and requirements for children and young people

• Other (3)

- Limit adult participation throughout all stages of the project
- o Arguing my case
- Be good at writing a more threatening risk assessment